C.D. Sunsco: Opiday, Jan. 4/35.

LINDBERGH--1

The drama at Flemington today was a duel personalities, a clash of temperaments. Two men, standing in utter contrast, also in opposition of mind and will. And out of this strokes of evidence alities, a remurged sudden startling flash, strokes of evidence that may be of decisive assue in the court battle, of fateful meaning in the destiny of Richard Bruno Hauptmann.

There on the witness stand is Lindbergh, the familiar figure of world-wide admiration. You know him so well that you can readily see him in the eye the mind-trimly slender, comely of face, long legs rather dangling as he crosses them. You can also picture his manner, figure it in advance--cool, collected, quiet-spoken, brief, courteous. It would astonish you if you heard that Lindbergh had flared up, had lost his self-control, his temper, his hitherto unfailing poise. But that is not to be told. He was imperturbable when he was a lone eagle flying the Atlantic. He was just as imperturbable today, as he spoke startling words in the trial of a man accused of the murder of his infant son.

But the other man, Lindbergh's opponent -- you can not so

readily picture in advance what he was like in the court room duel. For it was a duel - a cross examination. Edward J. Reiley, counsel for Hauptmann, said yesterday in a terse adroit way that there were questions he wanted to put to Lindbergh, that he would cross-examine him, sharply and persistently. Well. Edward J. Reiley is considered by many to be the most adroit trial lawyer in New Jersey, victor of a thousand battles as Attorney-for-the-Defense. He was sure to be a clever relentless cross-examiner. Renowned he is in his own legal sphere; but not the figure so familiar to all as Lindbergh. How would the counsel for the detense comport himself? Would he rampage, shout his questions, try to brow-beat -- as so many lawyers do when trying to break down a witness. All of that had to be answered by the actual event today.

Attorney Reelly is a large man, with a large nose. In every respect he is of waposing bulk, and has a quality of dignity to match it. He could be an upper-crust Irish politician, stately and suave. He cuts the figure of a Senator.

And he stands, large and imposing facing Lindbergh -- a man of granite opposed to a slender man of steel. If Lindbergh was calm and management self-contained, so was Reelly. If Lindbergh was courteous, Reelly courteous too. There were no dramatics on either side. It was a long and intense cross-examination. That really describes the day in court -- cross-examination. And the struggle between the attorney-for-the-defense and the witness for the prosecution was waged with a quiet courtesy, all the more deadly because the was so quiet and courteous.

Take the olimax, for example, when Reelly thrust Lindbergh the most breath-taking question of the day. He was suave and urbane as he asked:- "Do you honestly believe Hauptmann is guilty?"

Lindbergh replied with three words, three words as quiet as he has ever spoken in his quiet-spoken life, yet there was the emphatic point of a rapier stroke as he responded:- "Yes, I do."

As the court room battle flashed its tense stroke and counter-stroke, there were the inevitable exchange so familiar when a cross-examining lawyer tries to out-fense the witness, throwing arguments at the witness, trying to involve him in contradictions and inconsistencies. The Hauptmann defense opposes the prosecution theory that one man did the kidnapping, and to this suggests the counter-contention that the kidnapping was the doing of a gang. Hence the acute point when Reiley's questions developed the idea that Lindbergh himself had once believed that a gang, not one man alone had committed the crime.

And this brought in the name of John Hughes Curtiss,
the Norfolk Boat builder, who led Lindbergh on that pitiful
wild-goose chase. Curtiss then pretended that he was in contact
with the kidnapping gagn that had the baby. Today here's the
form the battle took. Reiley pointed out that Lindbergh must
have believed, when he went with Gurtiss, that he was a gang.
Lindbergh admitted this, but immediately he came back with a
counter stroke. He said he had changed his mind about the gang

LINDBERGH

part of it when he ceased to believe in Curtiss, after he was convinced that the boat builder's statements were false.

The swave courtesy of the attorney for the defense was perhaps at its extreme, when he let fly a sensational innuendo -- a hint. It was a sinister inference directed at no less a person than the eccentric Dr. Condon, that curious "Jafsie", who persuaded Lindbergh to toss the \$50,000 ransom over the cemetery wall.

With that you can readily understand that there was every courtesy yet the most direct firmness of questions and answers. Qs, in the back and forth of cross-examination. Lindbergh made an outright identification of Hauptmann as the man who got the fifty thousand dollar ransom. He declared he was positive that the voice of Hauptmann is identical with the voice he heard that night nearly three years ago, when Dr. Hohn F. Condon, Jafsey, tossed the money over the wall of St. Raymond's cemetary in the Bronx. And this introduces into this most sensational of all tridls the element that has been fore-shadowed all along, the element of identification by voice.

began to show evidences of some insured stie one turmedly lie face

today Savetmann stared at Lindborgh. They may he outstared the

none ranks of the Atlanting best configurate will take us

about sacress that. The esse is adjourned ever the sackwest.

In his questions to Lindbergh, Recelly implied

that Dr. Condon might have known more about the crime than
he admitted. Jafsey might have had something to do with it.

Here's one of Rielly's most insinuating questions:- "Might not
the master mind behind the kidnapping have advertised a reward
for the kidnappers?" From that line of development it looks
as though Jafsey might have an interesting time, if and when he
gets on the witness stand.

In the courtroom duel Lindbergh sertainly had none the worst of it. He was as competent a witness as he ever was a flier, and you know about him as a flier.

What was he thinking? Hauptmann: For the first time Hauptmann began to show evidences of some inward stir and turmoil. His face twitched, and his x eyes turned to Lindbergh incessantly.

Hitherto the two men had not so much as exchanged glances. But today Hauptmann stared at Lindbergh. They say he outstared the Lone Eagle of the Atlantic. They say he outstared the about thexere that. The case is adjourned over the week-end.

These are only a few more of the millions of words pouring out about the trial. The court proceedings at Fleminton are more heavily covered than any other event since the Peace Conference at VerSailles. The number of words and pictures far exceed any of the famous trials of the past. The English newspaper men are wiring so much news home that Western Union has installed a direct teletype machine from Flemington right to London. They beng away on typewriter keys at this end. and the typewrittent copy comes out the other end in London. The British public is getting the news as promptly as neighboring New York and Philadelphia.

Three New York newspaper photographers have got leave of absence from their editors and are operating the developing plant, developing a film in a hurry for the news reel camera men.

One Bhiladelphia newspaper has a flying dark room, the photos developed en route, while flying through the sky.

A deserted field has been converted into an airport, where twenty planes are doing a rush business, hurrying pictures to make the editions in the large cities of the East. The town is crowded with more than six hundred newspaper reporters, artists, photographers, news reel men, radio perfect, and telegraphers. They are spending about \$10.00 a day. The local restaurants are unable to feed the crowds. So a cafeteria has been established at the Flemington Methodist Church-good food, too. You know those suppers given by the hadies Rid Society.

Pierrot.
San. 47

The other day a group of adventurous men, explorers and world-travelers, headed by Colonel Theodore Roosevelt and Martin Johnson, voted Colonel Charles A. Lindbergh, the greatest heroadventurer of our time.

Colonel Lindbergh, of course, receives a constant flood of letters from young men, boys, wanting to know how they can have adventures. Perhaps the man who can best answer that question is sitting beside me tonight. He is in closer touch with the boys of America than any one I know -- because he is the editor of the largest boys' magazine -- The American Boy. He is also the director of what is called the "World Adventure Series," at the Detroit Institute of Arts. And he has been something of an adventurer, himself, having spent years vagabonding up and down the world. Mr. George Pierrot what do you tell boys who want to be like Colonel Lindbergh -- who yearn for adventure?

FOR MR. PIERROT:

Just get off the beaten track with little or no money and you'll have adventure enough! I once wandered over Europe and Africa, for months, and it cost me about a dollar a day.

But adventure is a state of mind. It is something within, rather than without. Two men can take the same walk. One will come back brim full of the story of the interesting and amusing time he had. The other will return, bored. He has seen nothing, heard nothing.

He'll tell you in all seriousness that nothing happened. And nothing did -- so far as he was concerned. We can't all follow in the footsteps of the world's greatest aviator, Colonel Lindbergh. But, we can have adventures. Travel is one way, education and adventure all done up in one package. And you can go anywhere, anyt ime - provided you don't care how you get there!

FOR MR. PIERROT:

Just get off the beaten track with little or no money and you'll have adventure enough! I once wandered over Europe and Africa, for months, and it cost me about a dollar a day.

But adventure is a state of mind. It is something within, rather than without. Two men can take the same walk. One will come back brim full of the story of the interesting and amusing time he had. The other will return, bored. He has seen nothing, heard nothing. He'll tell you in all seriousness that nothing happened. And nothing did -- so far as he was concerned. We can't all follow in the footsteps of the world's greatest aviator, Colonel Lindbergh. But, we can have adventures. Travel is one way, education and adventure all done up in one package. And you can go anywhere, anyt ime - provided you don't care how you get there!

Germany is still seething with rumors about that Nazi convention. The official version of the event indicates that Hitler suddenly called the mass meeting of his lieutenants from all over Germany, as a demonstration of Nazi solidarity.

"We've got to stop the foreign lies about Germany!" that was Hitler's own explanationin the speech he made before
the assembled leaders. He was referring to rumors spread far
and wide that as soon as the Saar Plebiscite is over, Germany
will face a crisis in the Nazi government, with a possible
collapse.

"Our adversaries must know," Hitler declared, "that it is useless for them to speculate on German disunion."

Goering, the Heavy Fist of the Nazis, followed Hitler and devoted his speech to ringing assurances to Der Fuehrer that the Nazis are 100% loyal to him, and that they will back him up in the campaign to stamp out the lies -- particularly those rumors about a threatening crisis.

These official statements of what went on in that secret meeting in the Berlin Opera House are not so very reassuring to the

Germans. Even suppose there give a complete account of what transpired behind closed doors—the extreme concern of the about those rumors of a Nazi crisis might argue a bit of worry about the possibilities of just such a crisis. From this side of the ocean one might surmise that when Hitler so dramatically called a mass meeting of the Nazi leaders, his purpose was not merely to denounce the foreign lies, but also tomake sure there might not be some truth in those lies.

To those of you who heard President Roosevelt on the air today, I don't have to say -- the prophesy was fulfilled. I mean, the prophesy that the President's message to Congress was scheduled to be one of exceptional weight and importance.

There was a flash of the startling in some of his more energetic and vividly animated phrases. Let's recall how he declared that a "continued dependence upon relief induces a spititual and moral disintegration fundamentally distructive of the national fibre." And let's cock an attentive reminiscent ear to the echo of the Chief Executive's scathing judgment that "to dole out relief in this way is to administer a narcotic -- a subtle destroyer of the human spirit." Psounds like a rugged individualist speaking, doesn't it? But it's FranklinDelano Roosevelt, master of the New Deal, who creates further astonishment with another phrase of lively epithet. "I am not willing," he flashed, "that the vitality of our people be further sapped by the giving of cash, market baskets, of a few hours of weekly work cutting grass, raking leaves, or picking up papers in the public parks."

mornia soria, imporsant interprince. Or mili be a port

It rather sounds as if the President were going back on his New Deal, and forgetting the "forgotten man". But wait a minute, President Roosevelt continued saying: - "This decision brings me to t the problem of what the government should do with the approximately tive million unemployed now on the relief rolls."

Then he outlined his solution for the problem. And once more we see Franklin Delano Roosevelt in his familiar guise as protagonist of the New Deal. He re-emphasised the recent administration policy of turning unemployables back to local charity.

Even before the depression, he pointed out, there were make a million and a half unemployed people dependent on local welfare organizations.

The remainder of the unemployed, who are simply victims of the depression will still be taken care of by the government. They will receive the benefit of a new and revised public works program.

what the President said about the new and revised program stands as one of the most significant parts of his message. It will be based on useful work, important enterprizes. It will be a sort

of government enterprise that will not infringe on fields that may otherwise be tilled by private capital. To every able-bodied citizen the President pledged a job.

And not only that! He gave a further pledge of unemployment insurance and old-age insurance.

Speaking in visible person to the person to the congress of the United States, and in radio person to all the people of the United States -- President Roosevelt summed his program in one characteristic phrase, when he spoke of guaranteeing everyone "the security against the major hazards and vicissitudes of life," as he phrased it.

Aside from the panorama of political action, the New Congress represents a parade of colorful characters -- a cowboy law maker Congressman Gassaway wore cowboy boots on the ranch in Oklahoma and is still wearing his high-topped, high-heeled, cow-country foot gear in the Halls of Congress.

And there is the motherly fudge-expert, Mrs. Hattie

Carroway of Jonesburg, Askansas, Widow of Senator Carroway. She

has been in Congress ever since 1931. And she has never said

anything for the official record except "yes", "no", and "present"

when the roll is called but how she can make fudge!

Representative Finley Grey of Indiana, writes his speeches in blank verse. He delivers an oration and recites a poem at the same time.

Cow-boots, fudge, and speeches in blank verse - and SO LONG UNTIL MONDAY.