L.T. - SUNOCO. TUESDAY, JUNE 25, 1940.

GOOD EVENING EVERY BODY:

Philadelphia was a city of rumors today. With the this evening convention adjourned from shortly after noon until ten thirty torright - the assembled hosts of delegate's, newspaper men, radio people and visitors had a great chance to gut on a buzz of gessing passing along reports about this delegation and that, about that condidete or the other.

Let's take a look at the ascertainable facts:-The Congressman Joe Martin of Massachusetts made his address as permanent chairman of the convention. He spoke long and eloquently along lines that were not so different from those of the keynote speech last night - denouncing the New Deal, the administration war policy, championing freedom and democracy, and advocating a course of keeping the United States out of the war. Then came the adjournment - the adjournment of everybody except the platform-makers. They stuck to their task of carpentring the planks - especially that crucial length of LEAD _ 2

timber - the foreign policy plank concerning war. "America first", is the slogen, and the contest is bytween the groups that are being called "isolationists" and "interventionists." The most likely compromise produced by the platform-makers is a proposed plank advocating aid to oppressed peoples, aid short of war. Opparexxed Oppressed peoples - without any mention of the Allies. Or rather, there being only one ally left - without specific mention of Great Britain. I could go on for half an hour with reports circulating about the platform puzzle, but the general facts are about what I have stated.

Concerning candidates - New York District Actorney, The Dewey today denied a rumor that has been circulating that there's a deal between the Dewey forces and the supporters of Senator Taft, a combined attempt to stop Wilkie. Dewey called that - "phoney from beginning to end." And he stated:- "There is no deal pending or in prospect between me or my headquarters and any other candidate."

As for Candidate Wilkie, he spoke up today concerning the Roosevelt policy of reciprocal trade treaties. In the past, LEAD - 3

Wilkie has been quoted as being in favor of those treaties. Today he, and - they're no longer an issue. The Wilkie attitude is that the developments of the European War have put thetrade treaties in the background. "The importence of reciprocal

agreements has ceased to exist," sold he.

CONVENTION SIDELIGHTS

The principal topic of conversation in Philadelphia today has been: after the first ballot which way will the delegates jump, the delegates who are pledged to vote on the first ballot for Dewey or Taft or Vandenberg, or some favorite son. And here is a bit of information that may or may not be accurate, and may or may not indicate anything. I'll pass it along and let you figure it out. The dope is that after the first ballot, when the pledged delegates are released they say that not many of them with ganta are going to switch to Dewey, that he will lose strength from then on, that the trend will be to Taft, maybe, Hoover, maybe, depending of course to no small extent on that much awaited speech, the speech that will be one of the high spots in the long and spectacular career of Herbert Hoover. And, some of those delegates say they are going to switch to Willkie.

H again - after a few ballate.

Does this mean that Mr. Dewey is out of the running? His followers expect him to pick up? Far from it. But, there is no more talk than ever of trying to get him to take the second place on the ticket. For some reason nearly everyone thinks that he would be the ideal man for Vice President. That he would be of enormous help in the CONVENTION SIDELIGHTS - 2

50

in the campaign, that he would be at pivotal point at the in the national government, a participant in all cabinet meetings for four years, and then the logical man in **1944** Nineteen forty-four. That's what many are saying.

Today Theard very little talk about Senator

Vandenberg gotting the nomination. In the Convention Hall, when you get away from the delegates on the floor, a thing that struck me as curious was that nearly everyone xx I bumped into was a Willkie tooxterx supporters But, right now everybody is sitting back, waiting for tonight's speech, He speech that Ex-President Moover will make. Advance copies of it have been turned over to the press. This is always done with important speeches of this type. And, the journalists who have taken time out to read it, who can't wait until they hear it kanx tonight, are unanimous that it is perhaps the greatest of all Hoover speeches. Personally I prefer to wait and hear it, rather than read it ahead of time. Because, on an occasion of this kind much not only depends on what Hoover says, but how he says it, and the reaction of the

Republican Convention. Will it be stampeeded?

CONVENTION SIDELIGHTS - 3

5

It is safe to say that nearly every radio in tuned Americs will be turned in to this Philadelphia Convention Later this evening. when Herbert Hoover steps before the microphones, And, I have a hunch that he will get just about the greatest spontaneous ovation of his entire career, And, there is hardly a person, enywhere who doesn't now feel that the former President did his best while in office, since then has been playing the will role of our foremest elder statesman, that he is one of the fixist first-citizens of the world, perhaps our wiscest men.

Yes, tonight is Hoover night in EXEXY even if Taft

Here is a curious thing I ran into:- Ray Tucker, crack writer for Collier's told me a while ago, that the word was going around that Raymond Moley, Ex-New Deal Brain Truster had written the speech that former President Hoover will deliver tonight. What nonsense! The speech was written largely in the home of Senator Fred Wolcott, up in the Berkshire Hills. Every line of it written by Herbert Hoover hi self. In fact, since leaving the White House he has

CONVENTION SIDELIGHTS - 4

prepared all of his speeches, turned them out with prodigious labor and it is safe to say that the one he is to deliver tonight was written by Hoover alone. And, there are NIE personal reasons why it couldn't possibly be written by Raymond Moley. Remember that message the Ex-President sent to the President when Moley's book about F.D.R. and the New Deal came out? But, that is an illustration of what wildstories make the rounds of a national political called - Kaya

convention.

Yes, tonight is Hoover night even if Taft on Dewey on Willsie is nominated on Thurs. on Friday.

CHURCHILL

One important question was answered today in the British House of Commons - a question about the French fleet. It has been rumored that the bulk of the warships of France w are under British control, and would not fall into the hands of the Germans This is not true - according to the statement made by Prime Minister Churchill. He indicated that the French Government is turning over the bulk of the French navy to the Germans and the Italians, - in accordance with the terms of the armistice that went into effect today. The bulk of the French fleet, - although it is possible that the British may control some of the units. Prime Minister Churchill told a dramatic story about the French fleet, a story waxthe all the more interesting - because it has an American angle. The United States figures in the drama that Winston Churchill unfolded.

On June the Thirteenth, twelve days ago - the Germans were hurling the full power of their tremendous drive, and the French command knew only too well that the armies of France were cracking badly. On that day, Prime Minister Churchill,

accompanied by Lord Halifax and Lord Beaverbrook, conferred with

CHURCHILL - 2

French Premier Reynaud at Tours. Reynaud said that the military situation was such that France might have to call off the fight. He asked the British Prime Minister to release the French government from the pledge it made in its treaty with Great Britain - the promise not to make a separate peace or a **x** separate armistice. To this, Reynaud added the promise that, in any event, the French fleet would never be allowed to fall into German hands. The British attitude was that if France were compelled to seek an armistice, London might agree - if the French navy were sent to British ports while armistice negotiations with Germany were being conducted.

But meanwhile Churchill and Reynaud **xgraed**xdoxdakexbevex amakkerxtriatxxxTbexbeasexafxdoxErikishxeedxErexakxgeveramentexx ixkxedxthexxxtterxxxeryxeed decided to have one more try,-Twatts in the hope that France might yet be **xxxx** saved. That's where the United States comes in. "We agreed," declared Prime Minister Churchill today, "that a further appeal should be made by Reynaud to the United States." To this he added:- "If the appeal were not sufficient to enable Reynaud to go on fighting - then we would CHURCHILL - 3

meet again to take decisions in the light of the new facts." \mathcal{R} So the French Premier sent an appeal to the United States - that emotional plea which was headlined at the time. "On the Sixteenth," Churchill today related, "I received a message from Reynaud saying that the American response was not satisfactory." That apparently applied to the persons that President Roosevelt made to the French appeal - the United States was already doing what it could, 12 the administration policy of giving the Allies all aid short of war. This American reply being unsatisfactory, Reynaud proceeded to ask that France be released from the pledge not to make a separate armistice. What happened? What was the subsequent course of events? "I was preparing to go to see Reynaud," says Winston Churchill, "in fact was already on the train when I received news that he had been overthrown." That's how the Prime Minister of Great Britain got the news that the new ministry of Marshal Petain had taken power.

Churchill mid today that he reminded the new French government that unless the French fleet were put under British control, London could not release Paris from its obligations under the Anglo-French Treaty. But it did no good. "It was with grief

CHURCHILL - 4

56

and amazement," declares Winston Churchill, "that I read afterwards of the amistice terms which stated that the French fleet should be demobilized under Germand and Italian control." And Churchill made the pointed remark that the French warships are being turned over while they are still armed, guns in good order. He ridiculed the German pledge not to use the French fleet in the war against Great Britain. "We know the value of that," he snapped ironically.

The Prime Minister told the House of Commons today that the combined French, Italian and German navies would have a tonnage approximately equal to that of the British fleet. So what happens to the warships of France, what is done with them affects the safety of the British Empire. Affects British safety how much? Winston Churchill answered this question with these words:- "Powerfully, but not decisively."

From all this it is only too apparent that the Germans and Italians have the bulk of the French fleet, and that the British do not expect them to keep their pledge that the squadrons turned over to them would not be used against Great <u>WAR</u> - 5

Britain. Also, that the British Prime Minister believes that if the French fleet is used in the attack on Britain, the effect will be - powerful but not decisive. RED CROSS

51

I have a telegram here from the Red Cross. It states that the end of hostilities in France will not affect the Red Cross program of sending relief to the millions of refugees. speaks The telegram texts in particular of the millions of children who are so pitifully in need of charity - that unfailing American Fred Cross charity.

Along with this comes a question sent to me by a friend. He is Jacques Charmoz, French explorer and athlets. He suggests - Would the American nation be willing to extend a temporary hospitality to children of France, some of the myriads of orphans? Would it not be possible to work up a plan whereby French children could be brought over here to stay until the war horrors of Europe have ended? Children's camps might be established, where the smallest and most appealing of war refugees could live - to return to their native land later on. Such is the question propounded. There is one piece of news today that has attracted the sharpest attention in Washington - as why wouldn't it? The report that comes from the Far East may be as important as any of the tydings from the war area of Europe.

The Japanese battle fleet is on its way to the coast of Indo-China - that rich French possession in southern Asia. And Tokyo declares that hereafter it will consult the **Prene** authorities in Indo-China and not the Government of France on questions concerning that part of the world. Moreover, there are rumors that the Japanese intend to occupy some of the ports of French Indo-China, and that the Mikado's empire may declare a protectorate over that French possession. And also - over the Dutch East Indies.

The comment in government quarters in Washington is is probably that the move of the Japanese fleet was something in the nature of a trial balloon - the idea being to see how the United States will react, a sort of feeling the way. Our own State Department has never made any declaration concerning the French colonies in Southern Asia - although there have been strong American expressions

JAPAN

JAPAN - 2

58/2

concerning the Dutch East Indies. Washington bes warned Tokyo not to make any that any Japanese move to grab the Mollang colonies, will be

regarded as a sorious affair.

When the the storms of war are lowering over Great Britain.

There's another report - that the fleet may be on its way to the Philippines - to keep an eye on developments in Asia. That supposition <u>of course</u> ties in with the Japanese gestures toward Indo-China. Washington, however, is inclined to think that **xelixing** seiling east is more probable than sailing west - the Canal, not the Philippines. Mad g - l - u - t - m.