A BOOK

Good Evening, Everybody:-

The court battle at Flemington came inevitably today to that one feature so often of the utmost significance in criminal and trials. Yes, there it was certain all along that the case of Bruno Hauptmann would hit high spots when the time came for -- identification.

There were two today. Two men put the accusing

finger on Hauptmann, saying: "He's the man." And with that the

whole familiar legal problem of identification the case

with a bang.

The proceedings were there with legal technicalities about admitting the famous ladder as evidence -- it has not been admitted as yet. -- When the first sensation was sprung. The mere fact of the prosecution bringing forward a witness who took the stand and swore that he saw Hauptmann in the vicinity of the Lindbergh house the day before the kidnapping -- that alone would have been exciting enough. But it was complicated by

certain national feelings. Hauptmann is a German, a former machine gunner in the Kaiser's army. And Germany is a land right now that is surrounded by the strongest hostilities and loyalties. German feelings tend to sympathize with Hauptmann and presume his innocence.

That's what king moody drama into the fact that the old

man who identified Hauptmann is not merely a German by origin, but

is a former soldier of the Prussian army. This was not put into

the evidence. Amandus Hochmuth, eighty-seven years old, took

the stand with a white mustache and goatee, all the company

of a veteran of the armies of fifty years ago; and one of the the

first questions that Attorney General Wilentz put to him was:

"Did you serve in the Prussian army?"

Defense Attorney Reilly immediately jumped to his feet:"I object." And in the face of the objection the Attorney General did not press the question.

But there was the fact that the old Prussian soldier,

Amandus Hochmuth, was confronting Bruno Richard Hauptmann, young,

a world war machine gunner in the Kaiser's army. The old man

picked Hauptmann out and declared he had seen him driving the car, with a face like a ghost, on the day before the kidnapping.

And he had a ladder in the car -- always that telltale ladder.

How did Hauptmann take it when the finger was pointed at him? He shook his head violently, as if to say -- "It isn't true."

-- and then the drama became tangled with reasonings and counterreasonings of the cross-examination. Yes, the old technical
problem of identifications popped up right there. The eighty-seven
year old witness said he had seen Hauptmann on particular
day three years ago. Reilly's cross-examination soon developed
that he couldn't remember other days so well.

How can you place one day of several years back so clearly? That is so often the perplexing question. Of course there's the reasoning that the event of the kidnapping might place the-day-before most precisely. But as it was, the accute defense lawyer hit hard to make it appear that a man of eighty-seven surely fouldn't remember the day well enough to place it so accurately. It was not such air-tight, iron-olad identification!

The first identification of the day was designed to connect Hauptmann with the actual identification of the day was designed to the connected Hauptmann with the actual identification of the ransom money. It will be recalled that the ransom episode began when a Bronx taxi driver was given a note, by a man, to deliver to Dr. John F. Condon, "Jafsie," It's been apparent all along that this taxi driver might be an important witness. Could be identify the man who gave him the note?

His name is Joseph Perrone. He was a Bronx taxi driver in 1932. Now he is a government relief worker. He's a nondescript sort of fellow, very quiet and very nervous. He spoke so quietly it was hard to hear him. Later on, under the heavy attack of the Defense lawyer, he was so timid and shy that he looked like a mouse hunting a hole to crawl into. But wen the mixi mild man identified Hauptmann, there was no lack of the sheer power of precision. He walked up to the prisoner, touched him on the shoulder fix and said: "This is the man." A thrill ran through the courtroom. Men exclaimed, women jumped to their feet, shuddering with excitement. The spectators had to be cautioned

when the previous identifying witness had pointed him out,
he had merely shaken his head. But when the taxi driver's
finger ax touched him, he started, and blurted a violent
phrase. Most people didn't hear what he said. It turned out
later that Hauptmann exclaimed: "You're a liar!"

The Defense Attorney wanted to put Hauptmann's denial into the record. But the Judge refused. He ruled it might be introduced into the testimony later.

Then the Defense Attorney started hammering away at

Joe Perrone, the taxi driver. Reilly has a way of pacing back

and forth which reminds you of a tiger making a turn in its

cage. Then he pounces on the witness. And he certainly did

pounce on Perrone, attacking his story from every angle. He took

the witness up and down the Bronx. He gave the court and jury

lectures on geography of that part of New York, trying to prove

that the taxi driver could not possibly have seen the face of

the man who gave him the note, because there are no street

lights on Gun Hill Road where the incident occurred. The witness

LINDBERGH - continued -- 6

didn't like it. He writhed and squirmed. But he left the witness stand with his identification of Hauptmann relatively unshaken.

Yes, these events have an obvious and sinister bearing on the life and happiness of Richard Bruno Hauptmann. There was a grim tenseness in the episodes of identification. The remainder of the court session was tuned in a lighter key, because things turned swiftly to a for-shadowing of Jafsie, a prelude to the much heralded appearance of the interesting educator, Dr. John F. Condon. There was reference to a Bronx restaurant, from which Jafsie started out on his first visit to the Lindbergh home. One of the witnesses was the owner of the restaurant, Mr. Rosenhain, known as "Rosie." The Attorney General asked Rosie: "Is yours a good restaurant?" and Rosie replied in a loud, cheerful voice: "The best in the world."

Later the Attorney General asked: "Does Dr. Condon come often to your restaurant?". "Yes" grinned Rosie, "that's why he looks so well."

Defense attorney Reilly asked Rosie whether his restaurant had a branch. Rosie replied: "No, but after this

LINDERBGH - continued - 7

trial I hope to open one here."

moved still closer to Jafsie - with the appearance on the witness stand of his so-called bodyguard, Al Reich, the former prize-fighter, and now a leading referee.

Reich, used to be known as the Adonis of the prize ring, and he is still a handsome fellow, save for a slightly battered nose, a legacy of his prize ring days. As a witness, he aroused the approval of the ladies in the court. "He can guard me any time he wants to" feminine voices were heard to mutter. His testimony though was not so startlingly important.

the aged Jafsey has been a figure of some renown in the Bronx. He was a great athlete in his day, loved to organize games and athletic events for school children. He drilled a fife and drum corps. He he became a school teacher and kept at his class-room duties for nearly fifty years. He went in for military discipline with his classes. He organized boxing clubs for boys and walking clubs for girls.

Jafsey was always much concerned about public questions.

He was one of the people who write letters to the editors. He wrote frequently to the Bronx Home News, a local paper, discussing issues that perplexed him. And issues were always perplexing Jafsey.

kidnapping and that he should follow his old habit and write a letter to the editor. The letter printed in the Bronx Home News. It was signed: - "J. F. C." -- hence the nickname "Jafsey". In this epistle he discussed the kidnapping, suggested ways for getting the child back, and offered a reward of one thousand dollars of his own money if the kidnappers would return Baby Lindbergh. This began a series of

dollars over the cemetary wall, a series of events that will climax

Jafsey's appearance on the witness stand tomorrow.

that papoldened the robbers at Carffold, New Jersey. Fire mon

results the concentration of state troopers in the little town,

with seven backing guns held up the Gerfield Trust Company this

morning. They got away so in a bundle of cash,

It was the usual stary. The swift, mesoscied entry

of the hold-up men, the tellers, looking into the suzzles of

machine gund, short stuck 'em on, then the swift gathering of the

cash, and the getamay.

their relation to the trial at Flemington. Perhaps it was that emboldened the robbers at Garfield, New Jersey. Five men with seven machine guns held up the Garfield Trust Company this morning. They got away with a bundle of cash.

It was the usual story. The swift, unexpected entry of the hold-up men, the tellers, looking into the muzzles of machine guns, xxxxx stuck 'em up, then the swift gathering of the cash, and the getaway.

While Bruno Hauptmann is on trial at Flemington, New Jersey, another Bruno is facing the court at Pottsville, Pennsylvania.

at the mining town of Kelaires, where on the night before election, bullets from the house of a Republican leader mowed down the marchers in a Democratic parade. There And five were killed.

The man from whose house the bullets spurted is Joseph J. Bruno.

And today he was the defendent in a courtroom packed with miners and politicians. It was a wrangle of jury picking. In addition to the more usual questions asked of prospective jurors, there is a keen interest in whether they are Republicans or Democrats.

And still another court event. Samuel Insull is
going to face the Cook County courts on January twenty-eighth.

He was found "not guilty" of Federal charges of embezzlement,
and now the State charges are made. The court proceedings are
bound to bring forth pretty much the same evidence as was displayed
in the trial on the Federal charges. So, I don't suppose there
will be anything new or sensational, -- in that trial which will
begin twenty days from today.

siris. Int this as the second line for that much and bitterly

Our mention of courts leads right on up to the Supreme Court of the United States, which has something to say on two widely different topics. Yes, topics as far apart as the Scottsboro Negroes and the oil code.

Once more there's a delay in that Scottsboro case -- a delay for further legal fighting. The death sentences which were to be executed early next month will be put off again. This is because the Supreme Court of the United States has again agreed to review the girls. And this is the second time for that much and bitterly debated case to come before the highest tribunal.

There are some things to keep in mind when we contemplate that momentous decision of the Supreme Court relating to oil. The highest tribunal in of the land declares the N.R.A. Oil Code to be illegal.

It pronounces the oil control provisions of the National Industrial Recovery Act to be invalid.

The first and most sententious point is - that this is the first Supreme Court decision that goes against a major issue of President Roosevelt's New Deal. We've had occasion to note at various times that the present session of the Supreme Court would be momentous because of the vital phases of government policy that would come up for decision. The Supreme Court has handed down several verdicts in favor of the Administration- but now one against.

Court did not express itself on the merits of the Oil Code as a whole.

The high justices made up their minds on one point only - the power which Congress gave to the President to stop interstate oil shipments, such shipments as were in excess of the quotas allowed to the various states. The Court held that Congress had no right to give this power to the President, because the power was so indefinite. Congress,

should define it more narrowly. The political philosophy is that

Congress should keep in its own hands those broad and sweeping

powers and in delegating any part of them to the President should

confine them to specified limits, stating the particular things the

President is privileged to do. The Court considered that the Oil Code

gave the President powers too vague and vast, over the oil industry.

This oil decision sharpens and dramatizes the interests in the next decision the Supreme Court is scheduled to hand down.

Within a few days it will pass on the legality of the Administration Gold Policy. The taking over of all the nation's gold by the federal government.

way when they are calling those Mexican red-shirts Fascists and also "Ku Kluxers." They are revolutionary radicals staging a violent anti-religious campaign. The latest clash has the religious situation in Mexico in a state of more bitter agitation than ever -- the clash when the anti-religious red-shirts had a battle with five thousand students, who were supporting the church. The red-shirts started shooting at once. Five students were shot.

Then the students stormed the red-shirt headquarters, wrecked the pri place, and were only dispersed when the police arrived with the fire hose.

The financial wiseacres have been busy doping out the meanings of the Eight and a half billion dollar Budget. One always illuminating angle is - the way the taxpayer's dollar is split up into various expenditures.

cents goes to Unemployment Relief. Fifteen cents is for running expenses of the government. Twelve cents is aid to agriculture.

Eleven cents pays interest on the public debt. Nine cents for Public Works. Eight cents for Veterans' benefits. Eight cents for the Reconstruction Finance Corporation. Five cents for the retirement of the public debt. Three cents for Home Owners aid. Two cents for miscellaneous expenses and One cent for tax refunds.

These figures show that fifty-eight cents out of every tax dollar go for relief in one form or another - unemployment, public works, farm, veterans and home loans.

and now I'm going to do something for your relief. I'm going to say s-l-u-t-m.

1/2