Lowell Thomas broadcast for the Literary Digest, Tuesday, April 5, 1932.

Page\_

Good Evening, Everybody: -

There's a large accumulation of rumors and circumstances which, piling up, have caused a lot of optimism in the Lindbergh case. First, there were those mysterious trips that the famous aviator has been making. It is stated definitely that he made an airplane flight along the Massachusetts coast looking for a yacht. Another rumor is that he has contacted several times with representatives of the kidnappers.

And then they say there is an unusual air of cheerfulness and expectation at the Lindbergh home in Hopewell, New Jersey.

There was a brush fire near the house today, and all hands went out and fought tire. Lindbergh jumped into the job of putting out the blaze with a vim. They say he was gay and cheery -- almost boyish.

It is pointed out that for the past two nights the baby's room has been lighted. Hitherto the windows have been dark, but last night and the night before

11-23-31 - 5M

2

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they were aglow.

Then there is a rumor that the Lindberghs have ordered a special brand of milk to be delivered to the house, presumably because they expect the baby back.

The New York World-Telegram points out that all these signs bolster up a rumor that the child will be returned within two days.

The New York Sun relates some amazing circumstances that seem to have come to light. They say that a table with two vases of flowers on it was right in front of the window from the kidnapper is supposed to have taken the child. Neither the table nor the flowers had been moved. This means that if the kidnapper took the mbm child out the window he must have straddled over the table coming in and going out -- an almost impossible feat. From this, the obvious surmise is that the child may not have been taken out of the window at all, but may have been taken from the

house in some other fashion; also, that the mud on the floor of the child's room, the ladder lying on the ground outside, and the footprints in the mud may have been just a bit of camouflage.

The New York Evening Post takes up
the subject of the New Jersey State
Police official who is in England
investigating the records of British
servants employed by the Lindberghs.
The word now is that nothing suspicious
their
has been found in their past lives, and
that a matching up of fingerprints has
failed to reveal any previous bad record.

Case tonight is one of cheer and hope.

Ora for the famona case in Honolulu, the word is that a tentative iwn was picked today to try the four defendants in what is called "The Honor

Slaying.

Here's something for the womenfolks. It concerns one mighty important angle of that problem -How to live.

I mean the woman's view of the subject. She has her own special difficulties to contend with. These are discussed in the book - How to Live, which gives advice and directions concerning health and well-being for every manner of person. It tells us how to add ten years to our lives, ten vital and important years, which should be a time when we can best utilize accumulated experience and wisdom.

The feminine slant on this problem is certainly most important, and tonight we'll hear from a woman who has made a distinguished success in one of the most distinguished professions - I mean the science of medicine.

Dr. Desire Dunn is head of the Woman's Department of the Life Dr. Desire

Dunna.

Head, Woman's

Department,

Life Extension

Life Extension

Life Fitension

April 5, 1932
P. 4

Extension Institute. Professor

Inving Fisher and Now to Live from the extensive and exhaustive store of knowledge collected by the Institute, and so Dr. Dunn is the right person to tell us something about the problem of health, well-being and the prolongation of life from the woman's standpoint.

#aves to gon.

Lil now turn over the other
waves to you.

A famous novelist said last week that, although this was rapidly becoming a woman's world, there were no great women - that no woman had ever written great poetry - a great book - or painted a great picture!

We had clever women - hard working mothers and housewives - high salaried, successful women - but no women of genius or really great women!

Perhaps we modern women are a bit too self-complacent and need the friendly warning of medical records to remind us that there are also increasing physical handicaps to greatness.

Women who lack steady physical drive usually stay on the lower levels of success - too frequently the burdens of intellectual achievement are carried on narrow Round shoulders, supported by diseased bodies. Although women are now to be found shoulder to shoulder with men in carrying on the work of the world, they so often fail to come through as they near the top.

women need sound bodies with safety margins of reserve energy, otherwise careers and lives of promise suddenly flicker out. We all know those tragic cases - heads of departments - writers, artists - professional women executives - who succeed brilliantly for a while and then suddenly crash - many times simply because they lack that gorgeous overflowing vitality of health, necessary for the sustained work of great accomplishment.

To have the body periodically examined by a physician - correct all impairments thus found - Regulate its daily needs - requires very little time but plenty of self-discipline.

3

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

Thank you. Doctor. You've given us a bit of real insight concerning women who do important things in the world.

am sure there are thousands of women to whom it will mean a great deal. This is the sort of thing that is fully discussed in the book -How to Live, and that volume, chock full of vital information, gives authoritative advice and suggestions which every one should know.

Returning to the news

President Hoover today got down to specific facts on the subject of government economy. The President answered the challenge of the Democrats, who have been making criticism of the White House demand that government expenses be cut down.

Why, asked the Democrats, doesn't the President tells us just how he expects to economize.

Well, the chief executive in talking to the newspaper men today pointed out that he has repeatedly suggested a reorganization of the government departments, and he went on to give a list of economies that he thought should be made. These, as given by the United Press in the Symacuse Herald, include:

(6)

laws governing the activities of the executive departments and bureaus. These laws specify how these departments and bureaus shall be run, and no changes or economies can be made until the laws are changed.

Thirdly, the consolidation of government bureaus and commissions.

Many of these overlap. One does the same work that is assigned to another. It's all wasteful and causes useless labor and expense.

What the President wants is the appointment of a joint commission of Republicans and Democrats to make a study of the possibilities of reorganizing government bureaus. He thinks that the Commission could find plenty ways of saving needless expense.

The President suggested this yesterday, and today a resolution was made in the Senate to put Mr. Hoover's ideas into effect. The Senators asked to get busy and arrange for the

appointment of such a commission.

Senator Wheeler this afternoon introduced into the Senate a bill for another kind of reconstruction corporation. The Senator's idea is to have something like the giant two billion dollar finance reconstruction corporation, but it's to be applied to the farmers. He proposes that the Treasury appropriate half a billion dollars for farm reconstruction, and that the amount should be increased by a bond issue to two billion dollars. This, says the Senator, will be for farming what the finance reconstruction corporation is for banking.

7

10

12

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

25

## 1 Ladies and Gentlemen:

Our list of tonight to 3 includes a town that gives us one of 4 the strongest dry majorities we've 5 had thus far. But let's begin with the 6 top of the list and go on down the line: Well, in Arlington, New Jersey. 8 279 vote for continuance of the 18th Amendment, and 1,618 vote for repeal. Auburn, New York, 573 vote dry, and 2,090 wet.

Altoona, Pennsylvania, 1,628 in favor of prohibition, 3,411 against. Wichita Falls, Texas, 489 dry,

1.009 wet. up on the shores of Puget Sound, Everett, Washington, 302 in

favor, 1,181 against.

Well, here's our strong dry majority. It comes from Statesville, North Carolina. Down there in the balmy south where spring is now in NXXX gorgeous bloom, the folks at Statesville have sent in a total of 723 votes, and of these the heavy majority of 435 are cast emphatically in favor of prohibition. The wet vote is something more than half of the dry vote. It is 288.

Morgantown, West Virginia, votes 437 dry, 1,090 wet.

Oshkosh, Wisconsin, 320 tofavoz of, 2,583 against.

with a second set of returns -- 4,755 in favor of Prohibition, 39,053 against.

And we also have a second report on Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, where the vote now stands 1,891 for continuance, 3,342 for repeal.

all wet but one.

16

4

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

- '

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

revolt in the University of California.

Last night we heard about the great disturbance at Columbia University in New York. Well, the hullaballoo is still going on with mass meetings and all that sort of thing. And now, in addition to the collegiate uproar in the kex east we have a co-educational force from the Far West. It makes quite a duet.

There seems to be a rebellion among the male students at the University of California. As the U.P. Mashington Daily News & tells the story, the boys out there demand female professors. At the same time they're denouncing the co-eds. It sounds a bit complicated, but here's the way it goes.

The male students claim that the coeds are getting by too easily. They're getting wonderful marks with a minimum of study. That is to say,

they make the charge that the sweet co-eds are using their wiles and charms to befuddle and otherwise bewilder the men professors. It seems that if a professor gets a beaming smile from a fair co-ed, why it sort of blinds him to the fact that she may be a trifle dumb, and even if her papers are all wrong, why he strokes his whiskers and gives her his professorial benediction.

The California Daily Bruin,
the college newspaper, makes these
sensational accusations and proceeds
to call the co-eds apple polishers
They say that this is the common
campus name for the lovely girls.
Just why they are called apple
polishers I don't know. But in any
case the college newspaper has started
an agitation for women professors.
The idea is that a woman professor
will not be snared by the smiles and
googoo eyes of a beautiful but dumb
co-ed. At the same time she is likely

to be a victim of the manly grace and charm of the men students, and if a handsome but dumb sophomore or junior gives her a lady killing wink, why, she'll give him some noble figures on his mistakes.

and primitive idea of setting scholastic Roman by hard study marke by study line a lot were regarded as altogether too old-fashioned out there on the campus of the University of California. Instead of burning the lamp of knowledge, the idea seems to be to have the right kind of soogen eyes sen appeal. Well, if I had a lot of it I might go on talking — but as it is I'm compelled to say

8- u- to -m.